



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,667	09/28/2001	Suzanne De La Monte	0609.4370005/RWE/FRC	3648
26111 7590	01/10/2005	EXAMINER		
STERNE, KESS	LER, GOLDSTEIN	MCGARRY, SEAN		
1100 NEW YORK	AVENUE, N.W.			<u>.</u>
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,		1635	

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/964,667	DE LA MONTE ET	AL.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sean R McGarry	1635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelligible, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Circles in the contract of t	f extension and the corresponding amounts shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate the final	opriate extension Office action; or		
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 					
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the		
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly		
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims we 			ind an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	·				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>35, 3743, and 45</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
0. ☐ Other:					
-					
		Sean R McGarry			
		Primary Examiner			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record. Applicant arguments do not significantly differ from the arguments submitted 11/07/04. Applicant points to the specification and references of record as support for enablement and those arguments are not convincing for the same reasons set forth in the Official Action mailed 9/9/04.